

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,571	08/13/2001		YuZhi Qu	458172000500	7877
25226	7590	11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
		ERSTER LLP	GREEN, ANTHONY J		
755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1755	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		and					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/928,571	09928571					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anthony J. Green	1755					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 C</u>	<u> October 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received						
<u> </u>		an No					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/928,571 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1755** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment submitted on 03 October 2003. Based on applicant's arguments the statutory double patenting rejection has been overcome and is hereby withdrawn. The obviousness type double patenting rejection has not however been overcome and is repeated below.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-283 of copending Application No. 09/928,883 (United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0066638). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the reduction to practice of the claims of the copending application would render obvious the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,571

Art Unit: 1755

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The instant claims are claiming the exact same heat transfer medium found in the copending application. Instant claims 1-8 do not recite a substrate whereas the claims of the copending application require a substrate. As for instant claims 9-16, they recite a substrate however they do not recite a heat transfer element, however since the copending claims recite that the heat transfer element is a substrate with a heat transfer medium on it, the instant claims are seen to be encompassed by the claims of the copending application absent evidence showing otherwise.

Applicant argues that the office action has not brought forward a basis upon which a prima facie showing the contents of claims 9-16 are obvious from claims 1-283 of the copending application.

To this argument the examiner respectfully disagrees. It is unclear as to how applicant can claim that a prima facie showing has not been made when the instant claims contain a heat transfer medium that is identical to that of the reference. While it is noted the reference teaches that the heat transfer medium is found on a substrate, it still teaches the same exact heat transfer medium, therefore one of ordinary skill in the art, by practicing the claims of the copending application would produce the heat transfer medium found in the instant claims since the compositions are the same. Upon filing of a terminal disclaimer the rejection will be overcome and the application will be passed to issue.

Art Unit: 1755

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J.

Green whose telephone number is 703-308-3819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Please note: After the move to the new USPTO Headquarters located in Alexandria, VA, tentatively scheduled for the week of December 22, 2003, the examiner's new phone number will be (571) 272-1367 and Mr. Bell's new phone number will be (571) 272-1362.

Anthony J/Øreen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755